

**BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA**

**DOCKET NO. 2019-1-E**

In the Matter of	)	<b>DIRECT TESTIMONY OF</b>
Annual Review of Base Rates	)	<b>KELVIN HENDERSON FOR</b>
for Fuel Costs for	)	<b>DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC</b>
Duke Energy Progress, LLC	)	

---

1   **Q.   PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2   A.   My name is Kelvin Henderson and my business address is 526 South Church Street,  
3       Charlotte, North Carolina.

4   **Q.   BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5   A.   I am Senior Vice President of Nuclear Operations for Duke Energy Corporation  
6       ("Duke Energy") with direct executive accountability for Duke Energy's North  
7       Carolina nuclear stations, including Duke Energy Progress, LLC's ("DEP" or the  
8       "Company") Brunswick Nuclear Station ("Brunswick") in Brunswick County,  
9       North Carolina, the Harris Nuclear Station ("Harris") in Wake County, North  
10      Carolina, and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("DEC") McGuire Nuclear Station,  
11      located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

12   **Q.   WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT**  
13      **OF NUCLEAR OPERATIONS?**

14   A.   As Senior Vice President of Nuclear Operations, I am responsible for providing  
15      oversight for the safe and reliable operation of Duke Energy's nuclear stations in  
16      North Carolina. I am also involved in the operations of Duke Energy's other nuclear  
17      stations, including DEP's Robinson Nuclear Station ("Robinson") located in  
18      Darlington County, South Carolina.

19   **Q.   PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**  
20      **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

21   A.   I have a Bachelor's degree in Mechanical Engineering from Bradley University and  
22      over 27 years of nuclear energy experience with increasing responsibilities. My  
23      nuclear career began at Commonwealth Edison's Zion Nuclear Station in Illinois

1 where I received a senior reactor operator license from the Nuclear Regulatory  
2 Commission (“NRC”) and served as a control room unit supervisor. In 1998, I  
3 joined Progress Energy in the operations department at the Harris Nuclear Station.  
4 After serving in various leadership roles in Operations, Work Management, and  
5 Maintenance, I was named plant manager at Harris. In 2011, I was named general  
6 manager of nuclear fleet operations for Progress Energy. Following the Duke  
7 Progress merger in 2012, I became site vice president of DEC’s Catawba Nuclear  
8 Station in York County, South Carolina. In 2016, I was named senior vice president  
9 of corporate nuclear, and I assumed my current role as Senior Vice President of  
10 Nuclear Operations in December 2017.

11 **Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION IN ANY PRIOR**  
12 **PROCEEDINGS?**

13 A. Yes, I testified in DEP’s 2018 fuel costs proceeding in Docket No. 2018-1-E and in  
14 DEP’s base rate proceeding in Docket No. 2018-318-E.

15 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**  
16 **PROCEEDING?**

17 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe and discuss the performance of  
18 Brunswick, Harris, and Robinson for the period of March 1, 2018 through February  
19 28, 2019 (the “review period”).

20 **Q. YOUR TESTIMONY INCLUDES THREE EXHIBITS. WERE THESE**  
21 **EXHIBITS PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR DIRECTION AND UNDER**  
22 **YOUR SUPERVISION?**

23 A. Yes. These exhibits were prepared at my direction and under my supervision.

1 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE EXHIBITS.**

2 A. The exhibits and descriptions are as follows:

3 Henderson Exhibit 1 - Calculation of the nuclear capacity factor for the  
4 review period pursuant to S.C. Code § 58-27-865

5 Henderson Exhibit 2 - Nuclear outage data for the review period

6 Henderson Exhibit 3 - Nuclear outage data through the billing period <sup>1</sup>

7 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE DEP'S NUCLEAR GENERATION PORTFOLIO.**

8 A. The Company's nuclear generation portfolio consists of approximately 3,575<sup>2</sup>  
9 megawatts ("MWs") of generating capacity, made up as follows:

10 Brunswick - 1,870 MWs

11 Harris - 964 MWs

12 Robinson - 741 MWs

13 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF DEP'S NUCLEAR**  
14 **GENERATION ASSETS.**

15 A. The Company's nuclear fleet consists of three generating stations and a total of four  
16 units. Brunswick is a boiling water reactor facility with two units and was the first  
17 nuclear plant built in North Carolina. Unit 2 began commercial operation in 1975,  
18 followed by Unit 1 in 1977. The operating licenses for Brunswick were renewed in  
19 2006 by the NRC, extending operations up to 2036 and 2034 for Units 1 and 2,  
20 respectively. Harris is a single unit pressurized water reactor that began commercial  
21 operation in 1987. The NRC issued a renewed license for Harris in 2008, extending  
22 operation up to 2046. Robinson is also a single unit pressurized water reactor that

<sup>1</sup> This data is provided in confidential and publicly redacted versions for security purposes.

<sup>2</sup> As of January 1, 2019

1 began commercial operation in 1971. The license renewal for Robinson Unit 2 was  
2 issued by the NRC in 2004, extending operation up to 2030.

3 **Q. WERE THERE ANY CAPACITY CHANGES WITHIN DEP'S NUCLEAR**  
4 **PORTFOLIO DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?**

5 A. Yes. Efficiency gains from the replacement of the Harris low pressure turbine in the  
6 spring of 2018 increased the capacity of the unit. After seasonal observations and  
7 validation testing, the Harris maximum dependable capacity ("MDC") was increased  
8 by 32 MWs to 964 MWs effective January 1, 2019. The winter capability rating  
9 was also increased, adding 29 MWs to the unit's winter capability.

10 **Q. WHAT ARE DEP'S OBJECTIVES IN THE OPERATION OF ITS**  
11 **NUCLEAR GENERATION ASSETS?**

12 A. The primary objective of DEP's nuclear generation department is to safely provide  
13 reliable and cost-effective electricity to DEP's Carolinas customers. The Company  
14 achieves this objective by focusing on a number of key areas. Operations personnel  
15 and other station employees are well-trained and execute their responsibilities to the  
16 highest standards in accordance with detailed procedures. The Company maintains  
17 station equipment and systems reliably, and ensures timely implementation of work  
18 plans and projects that enhance the performance of systems, equipment, and  
19 personnel. Station refueling and maintenance outages are conducted through the  
20 execution of well-planned, well-executed, and high quality work activities, which  
21 effectively ready the plant for operation until the next planned outage.

22 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PERFORMANCE OF DEP'S NUCLEAR FLEET**  
23 **DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD.**

1     A.     The Company operated its nuclear stations in a reasonable and prudent manner  
2           during the review period, providing approximately 46% of the total power generated  
3           by DEP. The four nuclear units operated at an actual system average capacity factor  
4           of 89.45% during the review period, which included three refueling outages. Output  
5           from three of the four DEP nuclear units was significantly impacted during the  
6           review period by Hurricane Florence. Prior to the expected landfall of Hurricane  
7           Florence, both Brunswick units were brought offline, consistent with site  
8           procedures. Brunswick Unit 1 was offline for 8.8 days and Unit 2 was offline for 6.3  
9           days. After the Federal Emergency Management Agency ensured emergency  
10          preparedness capability had been restored in the region, both Brunswick units to  
11          returned to service. Additionally, the availability of Robinson was impacted by  
12          Hurricane Florence. As described later in my testimony, the Robinson refueling  
13          outage, which began one week after the hurricane's landfall, was impacted by  
14          resource constraints directly attributable to the hurricane and its aftermath.

15                 As shown on Henderson Exhibit 1, DEP achieved a net nuclear capacity  
16          factor, excluding reasonable outage time, of 102.28% for the review period. This  
17          capacity factor is above the 92.5% set forth in S.C. Code § 58-27-865(F), which  
18          states in pertinent part:

19                         There shall be a rebuttable presumption that an electrical utility made  
20                         every reasonable effort to minimize cost associated with the  
21                         operation of its nuclear generation facility or system, as applicable, if  
22                         the utility achieved a net capacity factor of ninety-two and one-half  
23                         percent or higher during the period under review. The calculation of  
24                         the net capacity factor shall exclude reasonable outage time  
25                         associated with reasonable refueling, reasonable maintenance,  
26                         reasonable repair, and reasonable equipment replacement outages;  
27                         the reasonable reduced power generation experienced by nuclear  
28                         units as they approach a refueling outage; the reasonable reduced

1 power generation experienced by nuclear units associated with  
2 bringing a unit back to full power after an outage....  
3

4 The performance results discussed above support DEP's continued  
5 commitment for achieving high performance without compromising safety and  
6 reliability.

7 **Q. WHAT IMPACTS A UNIT'S AVAILABILITY AND WHAT IS DEP'S**  
8 **PHILOSOPHY FOR SCHEDULING REFUELING AND MAINTENANCE**  
9 **OUTAGES?**

10 A. In general, refueling requirements, maintenance requirements, prudent maintenance  
11 practices, and NRC operating requirements impact the availability of DEP's nuclear  
12 system. Prior to a planned outage, DEP develops a detailed schedule for the outage  
13 and for major tasks to be performed including sub-schedules for particular activities.

14 The Company's scheduling philosophy is to plan for a best possible outcome  
15 for each outage activity within the outage plan. For example, if the "best ever" time  
16 a particular outage task was performed is 10 days, then 10 days or less becomes the  
17 goal for that task in each subsequent outage. Those individual goals are  
18 incorporated into an overall outage schedule. The Company aggressively works to  
19 meet, and measures itself against, that schedule. Further, to minimize potential  
20 impacts to outage schedules, "discovery activities" (walk-downs, inspections, etc.)  
21 are scheduled at the earliest opportunities so that any maintenance or repairs  
22 identified through those activities can be promptly incorporated into the outage plan.  
23 Those discovery activities also have pre-planned contingency actions to ensure that,  
24 when incorporated into the schedule, the activities required for appropriate repair  
25 can be performed as efficiently as possible.

1           As noted, the Company uses the schedule for measuring outage planning and  
2           execution, and driving continuous improvement efforts. However, in order to  
3           provide reasonable, rather than best ever, total outage time for planning purposes,  
4           particularly with the dispatch and system operating center functions, DEP also  
5           develops an allocation of outage time which incorporates reasonable schedule losses.  
6           The development of each outage allocation is dependent on maintenance and repair  
7           activities included in the outage, as well as major projects to be implemented during  
8           the outage. Both schedule and allocation are set aggressively to drive continuous  
9           improvement in outage planning and execution.

10   **Q.   HOW DOES DEP HANDLE OUTAGE EXTENSIONS AND FORCED**  
11   **OUTAGES?**

12   A.   When an outage extension becomes necessary, DEP believes that work completed in  
13           the extension results in longer continuous run times and fewer forced outages,  
14           thereby reducing fuel costs in the long run. Therefore, if an unanticipated issue that  
15           has the potential to become an on-line reliability issue is discovered while a unit is  
16           off-line for a scheduled outage and repair cannot be completed within the planned  
17           work window, the outage is usually extended to perform necessary maintenance or  
18           repairs prior to returning the unit to service. In the event that a unit is forced off-  
19           line, every effort is made to safely perform the repair and return the unit to service as  
20           quickly as possible.

21   **Q.   DOES DEP PERFORM POST-OUTAGE CRITIQUES AND CAUSE**  
22   **ANALYSES FOR INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT EFFORTS?**



1 A. Yes. The nuclear industry recognizes that constant focus on operational excellence  
2 results in improved nuclear safety and reliability. As such, DEP applies self-critical  
3 analysis to each outage to identify every potential cause of an outage delay or event  
4 resulting in a forced or extended outage. These critiques and cause analyses do not  
5 document the broader context of the outage or event, and thus rarely reflect strengths  
6 and successes.

7 Q. **WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE STANDARDS THAT**  
8 **THE COMPANY APPLIES IN ITS POST OUTAGE CRITIQUES AND THE**  
9 **“EVERY REASONABLE EFFORT” STANDARD OF SECTION 58-27-865?**

10 In the Company’s outage evaluations, we are looking closely for any opportunity for  
11 improvement. We are not assessing the “reasonableness” of any conduct or actions  
12 that might have contributed to the outage. Reasonableness focuses on what was  
13 done in light of what was known prior to the event; in our outage evaluations we are  
14 focused on learning and applying new lessons from our experiences in order to  
15 improve our operations. The fact that an outage investigation may indicate ways we  
16 can improve our future operations does not indicate that we have determined that our  
17 previous practices did not meet the reasonableness standard.

18 Q. **WHAT REFUELING OUTAGES WERE REQUIRED AT DEP’S NUCLEAR**  
19 **FACILITIES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?**

20 A. There were three refueling outages completed during the review period:  
21 Brunswick Unit 1, Harris, and Robinson.

22 Brunswick Unit 1 was removed from the grid for refueling on March 3,  
23 2018. In addition to refueling, safety, reliability, and regulatory enhancements and

1 projects were completed. Emergency Diesel Generator (“EDG”) modifications were  
2 completed on EGD 2, including upgrades to starting air system, automatic voltage  
3 regulator, and governor. Completion of these safety and reliability enhancements on  
4 EDG 2 marks the completion of this safety and reliability enhancement project on all  
5 4 of the station’s EDGs. Regulatory work accomplished included the completion of  
6 all modifications associated with National Fire Protection Association Standard 805  
7 (“NFPA 805”) requirements and post-Fukushima required harden wetwell vent  
8 installation. Turbine-related work included the implementation of a digital turbine  
9 control system. The new system addresses equipment obsolescence and single-point  
10 vulnerabilities, enhancing the reliability of the station. A full turbine alignment and  
11 balance shot was also completed. After refueling, projects, maintenance, and  
12 inspections were completed, the unit returned to service on April 4, 2018. The  
13 outage was completed in 32.48 days compared to a 35-day allocation. Following the  
14 end of the refueling outage, the turbine was disconnected from the grid for just over  
15 2 hours to complete overspeed testing.

16 The Harris spring refueling outage began on April 7, 2018. In addition to  
17 refueling activities, safety, regulatory, and reliability enhancements and projects  
18 were completed. Safety and regulatory work included reactor vessel head  
19 inspections and repair, and reactor vessel in-service inspections. Replacement of the  
20 station’s low-pressure turbine addressed the aging of the existing turbine and  
21 mitigated the free-standing blade root cracking concerns. The new turbine also  
22 improved thermal efficiency and added 32 MWs to the station’s capacity. After  
23 testing and validation during 2018, the station’s maximum dependable capacity was

1 increased by 32 MWs to 964 MWs effective January 1, 2019. The station also  
2 completed installation of a new turbine control system. The new system addresses  
3 equipment obsolescence and single-point vulnerabilities, enhancing the reliability of  
4 the station. Other reliability work included refurbishment of the “B” reactor coolant  
5 pump motor and seals, “A” heater drain pump and motor, and overhaul of the  
6 auxiliary feed water turbine. All outage goals were met, and outage dose was the  
7 lowest ever recorded for a Harris refueling outage. After refueling, projects,  
8 maintenance, and inspection activity completed, the unit returned to service on May  
9 10, 2018; a duration of 33.8 days compared to a schedule allocation of 37 days.

10 The Robinson refueling outage was originally scheduled to begin on  
11 September 15, 2018, just one day after Hurricane Florence made landfall along  
12 North Carolina’s southeast coast. The outage start was delayed by one week, and on  
13 September 22, 2018, Robinson entered the fall refueling outage. In addition to  
14 refueling activities, significant safety, regulatory, and reliability enhancements were  
15 completed. Regulatory and safety enhancements included the transmission upgrade  
16 project (“TUP”) and modifications required to transition to the NFPA 805.  
17 Significant activities associated with the TUP included replacement of the 115KV  
18 startup transformer, addition of a second 230KV startup transformer, and upgrades to  
19 the 4KV bus and transmission lines. The TUP provides the station with a second  
20 off-site power path, aligning the station with the current industry standard for U.S.  
21 nuclear plants. NFPA 805 modifications included replacement of refueling water  
22 storage tank discharge valves, residual heat removal loop isolation valves, and loops  
23 “B” and “C” hotleg shutoff valves. Numerous new motor control centers and

1 distribution panels were also installed as part of the NFPA 805 modifications. A  
2 main power open phase detection modification was also completed. This system  
3 improves safety margins related to offsite power by providing a fully redundant open  
4 phase protection system.

5 Reliability enhancements included the replacement of both low-pressure  
6 turbines, which addressed blade design issues that have impacted generation since  
7 2012. The Siemens low-pressure turbines were replaced under warranty. Other  
8 reliability enhancements included replacement of the “B” reactor coolant pump  
9 motor and seal replacements on “A”, “B”, and “C” pumps. The “B” heater drain  
10 pump was also replaced.

11 After refueling, maintenance, projects and inspection activities were  
12 completed, the unit returned to service on November 26, 2018. The 65-day outage  
13 extended beyond the schedule allocation of 37 days, with the overrun primarily  
14 attributable to direct impacts on resource availability related to Hurricane Florence  
15 and challenges with the complex transmission upgrade project.

16 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT**  
17 **TESTIMONY?**

18 A. Yes, it does.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC  
SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS  
NUCLEAR CAPACITY FACTOR PURSUANT TO S.C. CODE ANN. § 58-27-865(F)  
REVIEW PERIOD OF MARCH 2018 THROUGH FEBRUARY 2019

1	Nuclear System Actual Net Generation During Review Period	27,802,924	MWH
2	Total Number of Hours during Review Period	8,760	
3	Nuclear System MDC during Review Period	3,548.33	MW
4	Reasonable Nuclear System Reductions	3,899,141	MWH
5	Nuclear System Capacity Factor = $L1/((L2a*L3a)-L4)*100$	<u>102.28</u>	%

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC  
SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS  
NUCLEAR OUTAGE DATA FOR REVIEW PERIOD OF  
MARCH 2018 THROUGH FEBRUARY 2019

Nuclear outages lasting one week or more during the Review Period

Station/Unit	Date of Outage	Explanation of Outage
Brunswick 1	3/3/2018 - 4/4/2018	Scheduled Refueling - EOC 22
Harris 1	4/7/2018 - 5/10/2018	Scheduled Refueling - EOC 21
Robinson 2	6/14/2018 - 6/21/2018	Scheduled maintenance outage to replace turbine blades
Brunswick 1 <sup>1</sup>	9/13/2018 - 9/22/2018	Reactor shut down for Hurricane Florence
Robinson 2	9/22/2018 - 11/26/2018	Scheduled Refueling - EOC 31

<sup>1</sup> Brunswick 2 was also removed from service for Hurricane Florence, but only offline 6.3 days

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC  
SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS  
NUCLEAR OUTAGE SCHEDULE THROUGH BILLING PERIOD  
MARCH 2019 THROUGH JUNE 2020

Scheduled nuclear outages lasting one week or more through the Billing Period

Station/Unit	Date of Outage <sup>1</sup>	Explanation of Outage
--------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------

**REDACTED**

<sup>1</sup> This exhibit represents DEP's current plan, which is subject to change based on fluctuations in operational and maintenance requirements.